

Table of Contents

Introduction	III
Overview	V
The Editors and Authors	XXIII

Chapter I

The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability	1
--	----------

Bernard Hanotiau

The Multiple Issues Raised by Multiparty – Multicontract Arbitrations Relating to M&A Transactions	3
---	----------

I. Issues Raised	3
II. M&A Transactions	5
A. The Non-signatory issue	5
1. Cases	6
2. Conclusions	9
B. Multicontract Cases	10
1. Cases	10
2. Conclusions	12

Friedrich Rüffler/Sarah Seper

Arbitration Agreements in Foundation Deeds – Recent Developments under Austrian (Case) Law	15
---	-----------

I. Introduction	15
II. Arbitrability of Foundation Disputes	16
A. (Non-)Pecuniary Nature of Disputes as a Starting Point	16
B. Austrian Supreme Court on Public Interests Conflicting with Arbitrability	18
1. The Underlying Case	18
2. Public Interests Regarding Section 27 PFA	20
3. Considerations	21
III. Legal Basis for Arbitration Clauses in Foundation Deeds	23
A. Status Quo in Austrian Literature	23
B. Austrian Supreme Court on Arbitration Clause in Supplementary Deed	24
IV. Beneficiaries and Arbitration Clauses in Foundation Deeds	25
A. The Underlying Case	25
B. Binding Effect of Arbitration Clause on Beneficiaries	26
C. Consumer Protection Provisions of Section 617 ACCP	28

Contents

1. Jurisdiction for Annulment Proceedings	28
2. Austrian Supreme Court on Section 617 (8) ACCP	28
3. Considerations	29
a) Teleological Approach to Section 617 (8) ACCP	29
b) Relationship Between Beneficiary and Foundation	30
c) Need for Further Clarification	32
V. Concluding Remarks	32
Florian Kremselehner/Ann-Kathrin Reschny	
Resolving Disputes in Austrian Private Foundations	35
I. Introduction	35
II. The Legal Framework	36
A. Jurisdiction of the Courts	36
B. Arbitration	36
C. Objective and Subjective Arbitrability	37
D. Who is Bound by an Arbitration Clause?	37
E. Objective Scope	39
F. Who is Bound by an Award?	40
III. Typical Conflicts	41
A. Founders vs. Founders	42
B. Beneficiaries vs. Founders	43
C. Founders vs. Directors	44
D. Beneficiaries vs. Foundation	45
E. Beneficiaries vs. Directors	46
F. Directors vs. Directors	47
IV. Dispute Resolution Boards within Private Foundations	47
A. History of Dispute Resolution Boards	48
B. Structuring a DRB	49
1. DRB Members	50
2. Independence	50
C. DRB Responsibilities	51
D. DRB Procedures	52
V. Conclusion	53
Nicol Schneider	
Effective Arbitration Clauses in Inheritance Proceedings	55
I. Introduction	55
II. The Concept of an Effective Arbitration Clause	58
III. The Special Nature of the Testamentary Arbitration Clause	59
IV. Special Issues to be Considered When Drafting a Testamentary Arbitration Clause	60
A. Arbitrability of Inheritance Matters	60
1. Identifying <i>leges arbitrorum</i> Addressing the Arbitrability of Inheritance Matters	61

Contents

2. Identifying Tendencies	62
B. Objective Scope of the Arbitration Clause	64
1. Defining the Objective Scope of an Arbitration Clause	64
2. Considering Whether All Inheritance Matters Should be Subjected to Arbitration	66
C. Subjective Scope of the Arbitration Clause	66
D. Arbitrators	68
1. Choosing an Arbitrator for Inheritance Matters	68
2. Administrative Functions	70
E. Choice of Law	70
1. Violation of Public Policy by Choosing a Law with no Connecting Factor	71
2. Violation of Public Policy by Choosing a Law with Different Fundamental Values	72
F. Form of Testamentary Arbitration Clauses	73
G. Procedural Rules	74
1. VIAC's Supplementary Rules for Disputes Relating to Succession	75
2. Additional Modifications to be Considered	76
H. Costs of the Proceedings	76
I. Capacity of the Testator	77
1. Identifying the Law Applicable to the Capacity of the Testator	78
2. Identifying the Applicable Standard under the Applicable Law	79
J. Interpretation of Testamentary Arbitration Clauses	80
V. Conclusion	81
 Chapter II	
The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure	83
<i>Alexander Petsche/Brian Gabriel-Oiwoh/Abanoub Malak</i>	
The Singapore Convention	
Quo Vadis of the Sleeping Beauty?	85
I. Background of the Singapore Convention	85
II. Selected Shortcomings of the Singapore Convention	86
A. Scope of Application	86
1. Mediation Agreements are Not Under the Scope of the Singapore Convention	86
2. "Mediation" is an Ambiguous Term in the Context of the Singapore Convention	88
a) Mediation as an "Anything Goes" Process?	89
b) The Extent of "Assistance" of the Mediator	90

Contents

B. Mediated Settlement Agreements as Directly Enforceable Contracts	92
C. Opt-in Mechanism	94
III. Outlook	95

Irene Welser

**Early Dispute Settlement through Arbitral Tribunals –
A Common Feature in the “DACH Region” Austria, Germany and
Switzerland?**

Including Additional Thoughts and Sixteen Golden Rules on the Way to a Successful Settlement	97
I. The Expectations of Parties When Opting for Arbitration	97
II. First Step – Multi-Tiered Arbitration Clauses	99
III. Institutional Rules and the Arbitral Tribunal’s Obligations to Assist the Parties	102
IV. Continental ‘Tradition’ of Early Settlement – Also in Arbitration? ..	105
V. Arbitrators’ Fees – a Major Stumbling Block on the Way to Early Settlement?	106
VI. Loss of Impartiality?	110
VII. Best Practices – Dos and Don’ts	112
VIII. Sixteen Golden Rules on the Way to Settlement	116
IX. The Incorrect Time and the Incorrect Method	118
X. The Award Reflecting a Settlement	121
XI. Conclusion	122

Markus P. Beham

Sustainability Literate Arbitrators

Essential Qualification or Nice-to-have?	123
I. Qualification of Arbitrators	123
II. Duties of Arbitrators	125
III. Increasing Expectations	127
IV. Concluding Remarks	129

Maximilian Albert Müller

**Arbitration Rules and the Challenge of Effectively
Addressing Third-Party Funding**

I. Introduction	131
II. Basic Elements of TPF and Existing Approaches in Rules and Regulations	131
III. Considerations for Addressing TPF in Respect to Common Practical Issues	137

A. Conflicts of Interest	138
B. Confidentiality and Privilege	140
C. Allocation of Costs and Security for Costs	141
D. Control and Influence on Proceedings	142
E. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding	143
IV. Conclusions	143

Philipp Schwarz

Third-Party Participation in Commercial Arbitration

Fifty Shades of Consent?	145
I. Introduction	145
II. Possible Forms of Third-Party Participation	147
A. Method of Inclusion of the Third Party	147
1. Statement of Claim	147
2. Request for Joinder	148
3. Third-Party Intervention	148
B. Typology of Third-Party Participation	148
1. Full-Party Status	149
2. Side-Party Status	149
3. Non-Disputing-Party Status	153
III. Possible Basis for Third-Party Participation other than Consent	154
A. Procedural Efficiency?	155
B. Context?	156
C. Arbitrators' Powers?	157
D. Result	157
IV. The Status Quo: A Binary Approach	158
V. The Way Forward: A Functional Approach	160
A. Specification of the Form of Third-Party Participation	160
B. Determination of the Relevant Consent Requirements	161
1. Full-Party Status	161
2. Side-Party Status	162
3. Non-Disputing-Party Status	164
C. Additional Considerations	165
VI. Conclusion	166

Nikolaus Pitkowitz/Johanna Kathan-Spath and the 20 contributors

The Vienna Propositions for the Resolution of Shareholder and Corporate Disputes

I. Introduction	167
II. Propositions for Shareholder and Corporate Disputes	168
A. Joinder and Multiparty Arbitration	169
B. Corporate and Shareholder Disputes in Commercial Arbitration	170

Contents

C. <i>Res Judicata</i> and <i>Lis Pendens</i>	171
D. Shareholder and Corporate Claims in Investment Arbitration	172
III. World Café Reports	172
 <i>Désirée Prantl/Stephanie Rohmann</i>	
A. Joinder & Consolidation – Efficient Case Management Tool or Multi-Headed Beast?	
A Comparison Between the New ICC Rules 2021 and Vienna Rules 2021	172
1. The Background	172
2. The ICC Rules 2021 Versus the Vienna Rules 2021	173
a) Joinder	173
aa) The Applicant and the Manner of Joinder	173
bb) Deadline for the Request for Joinder	174
cc) Factors to Be Considered in the Decision Whether to Grant the Joinder	174
b) Consolidation	175
3. Findings of the Discussion	176
 <i>Daniel Busse/Manuel Gyarmati-Buchmüller</i>	
B. Increasing Efficiency Through Consolidation Challenging Constellations and Some Practical Implications	177
1. Participants	177
2. Introduction	177
3. Discussion	178
a) Consolidation under the VIAC Rules	178
b) Consolidation under the ICC Rules	178
aa) Compatibility of Arbitration Clauses under Different Versions of the ICC Rules	179
bb) Time Limit for Consolidation	179
cc) Consolidation with Non-Participating Parties	180
c) Consolidation under the Swiss Rules	180
d) The Participants' Experience with Consolidation	181
e) The DIS Supplementary Rules for Corporate Disputes	182
 <i>Samuel Mimmagh</i>	
C. The Weight to Be Afforded to an Existing Party's Objection to Joinder	182
1. Introduction	182
2. Joinder and Party Consent	183
3. The Concept of 'Relevant Circumstances' in Applications for Joinder	185

Contents

4. The ‘Relevant Circumstances’ Specific to Parties	186
5. Conclusion	189
 <i>Petra Rihar</i>	
D. Shareholder Disputes Arising From Shareholders’ Agreements	
Protection of Minority Shareholders in Multiparty Proceedings Through Emergency Measures and Joinder – Case Study	190
1. Introduction	190
2. Shareholders’ Agreements versus Statutory Law	191
3. Possibilities of Protection Against Shareholders’ Resolutions Violating the Shareholders’ Agreement	194
a) Through Joint Acts of the Minority Shareholders	194
b) When the Minority Shareholders Do Not Act Jointly	195
aa) Before the Constitution of the Arbitral Tribunal	195
(1) By Minority Shareholder Who Initiated the Ordinary Arbitration Proceedings	195
(2) By Other Minority Shareholders	198
bb) After the Constitution of the Arbitral Tribunal	199
(1) By Minority Shareholder Who Initiated the Ordinary Arbitration Proceedings	199
(2) By Other Minority Shareholders	200
4. Conclusion	200
 <i>Rafał Kos/Tadeusz Zbiegień</i>	
E. Arbitrability II Decision of the German BGH From 2009 (BGH Arb II) and Its Effects on Corporate Arbitration Practice in Germany, Poland and Austria	200
1. The Arbitrability Saga	200
2. The Arbitrability II – Key Findings	201
a) Upsides	202
b) Downsides	203
3. Effects on Corporate Arbitration Practice	204
a) Arbitral Institutions	204
b) State Legislation	206
c) Case Law and Business Practice	207
4. What Will the Next Chapter Be?	208
 <i>Elisabeth Tretthahn-Wolski/Arbenita Rrmoku/Viktor Előd Cserép</i>	
F. To Bind or Not to Bind?	
Different Concepts of Res Judicata and Their Effect	209
1. Introduction	209

Contents

2.	Issue: Different Doctrines of Res Judicata	210
3.	Solution in International Arbitration: Uniform “Transnational” Concepts or Guidelines?	213
4.	Result: (Possibly) No One Fits All Solution	215

Robert Wachter/Han Ah Lee

G. Res Judicata

Claims That Could Have Been Brought in a First Proceeding, but Were Not Brought Until a Second Proceeding		215
1.	Introduction	215
2.	General Principles	215
3.	<i>Res Judicata</i> and Arbitration	217
4.	Conclusion	218

Miklós Boronkay/Philip Exenberger

H. Lis Pendens and Arbitration	219	
1.	Introduction	219
a)	Parallel Proceedings and the Triple Identity Test	219
b)	Arbitration-Related <i>Lis Pendens</i> and the Legal Framework	219
2.	Potential Scenarios of <i>Lis Pendens</i> in Arbitration- Related Matters	220
a)	Arbitration <i>vs.</i> Litigation	220
b)	Arbitration <i>vs.</i> Criminal Proceedings	222
c)	Litigation <i>vs.</i> Litigation (in Arbitration- Related Matters)	223
d)	Arbitration <i>vs.</i> Arbitration	224

Sheila Schwaighofer/Johanna Kathan-Spath

I. Res Judicata & Lis Pendens:

Bifurcation and Procedural Efficiency		225
1.	Introduction	225
2.	Discussion	226
a)	Bifurcation and Procedural Efficiency	226
aa)	Extent and Limits of the Tribunal’s Decision to Bifurcate the Proceedings	227
bb)	Relevant Criteria to Consider When Deciding on Bifurcation	228
b)	Bifurcation of <i>Res Judicata</i> and <i>Lis Pendens</i> Claims	229
aa)	When Is It Efficient to Bifurcate Proceedings Due to <i>Res Judicata</i> and <i>Lis Pendens</i> Claims?	229

Contents

bb) Is There a Distinction Between <i>Res Judicata</i> and <i>Lis Pendens</i> When Approaching Bifurcation Requests?	230
cc) How Far Should the Other Issues in a Dispute Be Assessed When Deciding on Bifurcation Due to Claims of <i>Res Judicata</i> or <i>Lis Pendens</i> ?	231
3. Conclusion	232
 <i>Oonagh Sands</i>	
J. Recent Treaties and Reform Proposals on the Standing and Rights of Shareholders and Companies in Investment Treaty Arbitration	232
1. Introduction	232
2. Considerations for Investment Treaty Reform and Proposed Options	233
3. Conclusion	235
 <i>Courtney Lotfi/Katharina Plavec</i>	
K. Shareholder and Corporate Claims in Investment Arbitration: A Discussion on ESG-related Claims and Whether They Can Influence Policy and Shape Change	235
1. Recap: Shareholder and Corporate Claims in Investment Arbitration	235
2. ESG-related Claims in Investment Arbitration	236
3. ESG-related Claims Brought by Shareholders	238
4. Conclusion	239
 Chapter III	
The Award and the Courts	241
 <i>Nikolaus Pitkowitz</i>	
On the Ground of Grounds – Reasons for Sound Reasoning of Arbitral Awards	243
I. Introduction	243
II. The Legal Basis under Austrian Law	243
III. The Applicable Standard According to the Austrian Supreme Court	245
IV. Comment – Good Reasons for a High Reasoning Standard	247
A. The Arbitrators' Perspective	247
B. The Parties' Perspective	248
C. The Institutions' Perspective	248
D. The State Courts' Perspective	249
V. Conclusion	249

Miodrag Jovanović

Thou Shalt Avoid Perversity in Reasoning!

A Philosophical Account of (Un)reasoned Arbitral Awards	251
I. Intro	251
II. Autonomy of and Reasonableness in Arbitration	
Decision-Making: Genealogy	253
III. On Reasonableness and Legal Reasoning	258
IV. Judges and Arbitrators: Different Standards of (Un)reasonableness?	263
V. New Trends in Arbitration: Mimicking Litigation?	268
VI. Conclusion: Guidelines for Avoiding the Trap of “Perversity in Reasoning”	271

Markus Schifferl/Thomas Herbst

Decisions of the Austrian Supreme Court

on Arbitration in 2021 and 2022	277
I. Opportunity to Comment on Costs of the Other Party	277
A. Facts of the Case	277
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	277
C. Additional Remarks	280
II. Termination of the Arbitration Agreement because of Impecuniosity	281
A. Facts of the Case	281
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	282
C. Additional Remarks	282
III. Liability of Arbitrators	283
A. Facts of the Case	283
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	284
C. Additional Remarks	285
IV. <i>Lis Pendens</i> and Third-Party Joinder	286
A. Facts of the Case	286
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	286
C. Additional Remarks	288
V. Enforcement of ICSID Additional Facility Award	289
A. Facts of the Case	289
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	290
C. Additional Remarks	291

Chapter IV	
Investment Arbitration	293
<i>John Beechey</i>	
Keynote Vienna Investment Arbitration Debate	295
I. Arbitration and Corruption	296
A. Ex Officio Investigation	303
B. Concluding Remarks	305
II. The Level of Protection under FPS.	
Should it Depend on the Level of Development of the Host State?	306
A. Background	306
<i>Stefan Dobrijević</i>	
The European Court of Justice on Investment Arbitrations after Achmea: Last Nails in the Coffin of Intra-EU Investment Arbitrations?	313
I. Introduction	313
II. Achmea and its Consequences – a Short Retrospective	314
A. Factual Background	314
B. Achmea Verdict and the <i>three-step test</i>	315
C. Agreement for the Termination of BITs Between the EU Member States	316
III. Investor-Member State Arbitration Agreements Concluded Outside Intra-EU Treaties	318
A. Ad Hoc Arbitration Agreements Identical to Intra-EU BIT Arbitration Clauses (<i>PL Holdings case</i>)	318
1. Factual Background and Preliminary Ruling Question	318
2. Scope of the Preliminary Ruling Question	319
3. Key Legal Arguments	319
B. Scenarios Not Covered by <i>PL Holdings</i>	321
1. General Considerations	321
2. Commercial Arbitration Exception	321
a) Nature of Consent as the Only Criterion	321
b) Mapping out Additional Criteria	322
3. Does the Commercial Arbitration Exception Apply to the Scenarios not Covered by <i>PL Holdings</i> ?	326
C. Interim Conclusion	328
IV. Investor-Member State Arbitrations Based on the Energy Charter Treaty	328
A. <i>Komstroy</i> case (ad hoc arbitration)	329
1. Factual Background	329
2. Legal Reasoning	330
a) Jurisdiction	330
b) Substance	330

Contents

B. Intra-EU ICSID Arbitrations	331
1. ECJ's Position	332
2. National Courts	334
a) EU Member States (Present and Former)	334
b) Courts Outside the EU	338
3. Arbitral Tribunals	340
C. ECT: Recent Developments	341
D. Interim Conclusion	342
V. Excursus: Arbitral Awards as Illegal State Aid?	343
VI. Summary and Outlook	344
<i>Ajla Serdarević</i>	
Energy Charter Treaty	
In Search for Balance and Clarity	347
I. Introduction	347
II. EU and the Modernization of the ECT	350
A. EU Influence on the Modernization of the ECT	350
B. EU Green Deal and Western Balkans	351
C. The Green Agenda for Western Balkans	352
D. The Criticism on ECT and Investment Protection under ECT ..	353
E. Investment Protection Standards and the Sunset Clause	354
F. Inter-EU Disputes and the ECT	354
III. The ECT and Arbitration	356
A. Arbitration Cases under the ECT	357
B. Modernization of the Dispute Mechanism under the ECT	358
C. Achema and Komstroy Judgments Impact on the ECT	359
IV. ECT Reform and BiH Energy Sector	361
A. Key Institution of BiH Energy Sector	361
B. Current Situation in the BiH Energy Sector	362
C. Bosnia and Herzegovina and Arbitration Cases	365
V. Conclusion	366
Chapter V	
Developments on the Austrian Market	369
<i>Alice Fremuth-Wolf/Elisabeth Vanas-Metzler</i>	
The VIAC Rules for Investment Arbitration and Mediation 2021	
With Special Focus on Third Party Funding and	
VIAC as Appointing or Administering Authority	
for ad hoc Proceedings	371
I. Background and Drafting History	371
II. Overview of the VIAC Investment Rules 2021 and the	
Specifics for Investment Proceedings	372

Contents

A. Overview of the Main Features of the VIAC Investment Rules 2021	373
B. Overview of the Main Differences between the Vienna Rules and the Vienna Investment Arbitration Rules	374
III. The Provisions on Third Party Funding in the Vienna Investment Arbitration Rules	376
A. Background	376
B. Definition of Third Party Funding in Article 6 para. 1.11 VRI	377
C. Disclosure Obligation of the Parties According to Article 13a paras. 1 and 2 VRI	378
D. Additional Disclosure Obligation According to Article 13a para. 3 VRI	379
IV. VIAC as Appointing and Administering Authority According to Annexes 4 and 5	379
A. VIAC as Appointing Authority (Annex 4)	380
B. VIAC as Administering Authority (Annex 5)	381
V. Conclusion	382

Niamh Leinwather/Jessica Pühr

VIAC's Annual Report – 2022

The Winds of Change at VIAC	383
I. Introduction	383
II. Review of 2022 – the Highs and Lows	383
A. In memoriam: Werner Melis (1935–2022)	383
B. Implications of EU Sanctions Package	384
C. The Introduction of the VIAC Community Ambassador Network – VIAC CAN and so CAN you!	385
D. Launch of the VIAC Tech Think Tank	385
III. Events	386
A. Overview	386
B. Vienna Arbitration Days online	387
C. VIAC at the Paris Arbitration Week	387
D. VIAC and the Willem C. Vis Moot	388
E. Virtual VIAC Series	389
F. “Head-to-head interview with arbitral institutions: recent trends and future prospects” Webinar	389
G. “Meet the Titans” Webinar	390
H. GAR Live Vienna, Vienna	390
I. Baker McKenzie-NYU-VIAC Event, “Debating Evidence”, Vienna	391
J. IBA VIAC CDRC Vienna 2022, Vienna	391
K. Joint Conference – Current Issues in Alternative Dispute Resolutions in International Trade, Ankara and Istanbul	392

Contents

L. Navigating Through Crises – Speeding up the Energy Transition, Vienna	392
M. Joint Conference – Arbitration and Technology. Technology and Arbitration – View from Eastern Europe, Bucharest	393
IV. Statistics	394
A. Number of Cases	394
B. Parties	394
C. Nationality of Arbitrators	395
D. Nature of Disputes	395
E. Gender Ratio	396
V. What's Next on VIAC's Agenda? Sneak Preview for 2023	397
A. New VIAC Board Members	397
B. New VIAC Members on the Advisory Boards	398
C. New Members of the VIAC Secretariat	399
D. Focus of the New VIAC Board 2023	399
 <i>Alfred Siwy</i>	
Recent Publications	401
 Index	409