

Table of Contents

| | |
|-------------------------------|------|
| Introduction | III |
| Overview | V |
| The Editors and Authors | XVII |

Chapter I The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability 1

Dieter Hofmann/Pascale Koester

| | |
|---|----------|
| Consumers in Arbitration – From a Swiss Perspective | 3 |
| I. Introduction | 3 |
| II. Consumer Law in Switzerland – A Brief Overview | 3 |
| A. Development and State of Swiss Consumer Law | 3 |
| B. Definitions of Consumer in Swiss Law | 5 |
| 1. No Uniform Definition of Consumer | 5 |
| 2. Scope of Application in Practice not Always Clear | 7 |
| III. Arbitrability of Consumer Disputes | 7 |
| A. Definitions of Arbitrability | 7 |
| B. Other Limits to Arbitrability of Consumer Disputes? | 10 |
| IV. Agreement to Arbitrate | 12 |
| A. Formal Requirements | 12 |
| B. Substantive Validity pursuant to Swiss Law | 13 |
| C. Arbitration Agreements in General Commercial Conditions | 14 |
| 1. Valid Incorporation and Rule of Unusualness | 14 |
| 2. Possible Review of Content | 16 |
| V. Practicability Issues: Unilateral Withdrawal from the Arbitration Clause | 17 |
| VI. Recent discussion: FIDLEG | 18 |
| VII. Conclusion | 20 |

Nicolas W. Reithner/Gabriele Ehlich

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Consumer Protection in Arbitration Proceedings in Liechtenstein | 21 |
| I. Introduction | 21 |
| II. General Overview of Consumer Protection | 21 |
| III. Consumer Protection in Arbitration Law | 22 |
| IV. Consumer Protection in Company Law | 23 |
| A. Is a Legal Entity under Private Law an Entrepreneur or a Consumer? | 23 |
| 1. Legal Situation in Austria | 23 |
| 2. Legal Situation in Liechtenstein | 24 |
| B. Arbitration Clauses in Statutes and Articles of Association | 24 |
| 1. Legal Situation in Austria | 25 |
| 2. Legal Situation in Liechtenstein | 25 |
| V. Law Reform in Liechtenstein | 26 |
| A. Consumers | 26 |
| B. Arbitrability of the Supervisory Proceedings for Foundations and Trusts | 27 |

| | |
|--|----|
| <i>Michael Swangard/Tamsyn Pickford</i> | |
| Commodity Arbitrations | 29 |
| I. Introduction | 29 |
| II. An Outline of the Leading Commodity Associations | 30 |
| A. The Grain and Feed Trade Association (GAFTA) | 30 |
| B. The London Metal Exchange (LME) | 30 |
| C. The Coffee Trade Federation (CTF) | 31 |
| D. The Federation of Oils, Seeds and Fats Associations Limited (FOSFA) | 31 |
| E. The Federation of Cocoa Commerce (FCC) | 32 |
| F. The Refined Sugar Association (RSA) and the Sugar Association of London (SAL) | 32 |
| G. International Cotton Association (ICA) | 32 |
| H. The Combined Edible Nut Trade Association (CENTA) | 33 |
| III. Commodity Arbitration: Distinguishing Features | 33 |
| A. Commercial rather than legal tribunals | 33 |
| B. Curtailed Time Limits for Initiating Arbitration | 35 |
| C. Multi-tier Arbitrations Incorporating Appeal Procedures | 36 |
| D. The Exclusion of Legal Practitioners From Oral Hearings | 39 |
| E. String Arbitration/One Binding Award | 40 |
| F. Defaulter Posting | 43 |
| IV. Current Trends in Commodity Arbitration and Outlook | 44 |
| | |
| Chapter II The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure | 47 |
| <i>Peter Rees</i> | |
| Does Arbitration Deliver? | 49 |
| I. Introductory Examples | 49 |
| A. The Boston Shoe Repairer | 49 |
| B. The Dublin Jeweller | 50 |
| II. The Seven Advantages | 51 |
| III. Delivery | 53 |
| A. DHL | 53 |
| B. UPS | 54 |
| C. Austrian Postal Service – Österreichische Post AG | 54 |
| D. Royal College of Midwives | 56 |
| IV. Speed, Quality, Price. Pick Three | 57 |
| V. Albert Einstein | 59 |
| | |
| <i>Ema Vidak-Gojkovic/Lucy Greenwood/Michael McIlwrath</i> | |
| Puppies or Kittens? How to Better Match Arbitrators to Party Expectations | 61 |
| I. How Uncertainty Over Arbitrator Soft Skills and Procedural Orientation Contributes to Dissatisfaction with International Arbitration | 61 |
| II. The Current Approach to Arbitrator Selection is Fundamentally Flawed | 63 |
| III. The Importance of Soft Skills and Knowing the Arbitrator’s Approach to Case Management | 64 |
| IV. The Puppy or Kitten Test: A Proposal for Arbitrators to Declare Their Case Management Preferences, If Any | 67 |
| A. Arbitrator Style and Preferences Questionnaire | 69 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| B. Where Would This Information Be Available? | 71 |
| V. So Why Do It? Numerous Benefits of Making the Selection Process More Transparent | 72 |
| VI. Conclusion: An Opportunity for VIAC | 74 |
| <i>Catherine A. Rogers</i> | |
| Transparency in Arbitrator Selection | 75 |
| I. The Steady March to Increased Transparency | 75 |
| A. The IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration (IBA Guidelines) | 76 |
| B. Other Transparency Innovations | 77 |
| II. Information and Transparency in Arbitrator Selection | 79 |
| A. Information and Feedback About Arbitrators | 79 |
| B. Arbitral Institutions and Limits on Information | 80 |
| C. Arbitrator Intelligence | 81 |
| 1. Web-Based Information | 81 |
| 2. Arbitral Awards | 82 |
| 3. Feedback Questionnaires | 82 |
| III. A Final Note on Transparency and Diversity | 84 |
| <i>Irene Welser/Alexandra Stoffl</i> | |
| Calderbank Letters and Baseball Arbitration – Effective Settlement Techniques? . . . | 87 |
| I. The Importance of Special Settlement Techniques in International Arbitration . . | 87 |
| II. The Sealed Offer/Calderbank Letter | 88 |
| A. Origin and Underlying Principles | 88 |
| B. What is a Calderbank Letter or Sealed Offer? | 89 |
| C. How to Make a Sealed Offer? | 90 |
| D. Advantages of Sealed Offer Arbitration | 92 |
| E. Disadvantages of Sealed Offer Arbitration | 92 |
| III. Baseball Arbitration | 94 |
| A. What is Baseball Arbitration? | 94 |
| B. How to Conduct Baseball Arbitration | 95 |
| C. Advantages of Baseball Arbitration | 96 |
| D. Disadvantages of Baseball Arbitration | 97 |
| IV. Conclusion | 98 |
| <i>Veit Öhlberger/Jarred Pinkston</i> | |
| Iura Novit Curia and the Non-Passive Arbitrator: A Question of Efficiency, Cultural Blinders and Misplaced Concerns About Impartiality | 101 |
| I. Introduction | 101 |
| II. What is Iura Novit Curia in the Context of International Arbitration? | 102 |
| III. Authority/Discretion to Apply Iura Novit Curia | 104 |
| A. Attempts to Extrapolate from Domestic Legal Regimes: Cultural Basis | 104 |
| B. National Arbitration Laws | 106 |
| C. International Tribunals | 106 |
| D. Arbitral Rules | 107 |
| E. General Discretion with Regard to Matters of Procedure | 107 |
| F. Conclusion on Authority | 108 |
| IV. Indirect Limitations to Apply Iura Novit Curia | 108 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| A. Risks for the Arbitrator | 108 |
| B. Risks for the Award | 109 |
| C. Conclusion on Indirect Limitations | 111 |
| V. Suggestions of How to Mitigate Risks associated with <i>Iura Novit Curia</i> | 112 |
| A. Before Becoming Non-Passive | 113 |
| B. After Becoming Non-Passive | 114 |
| VI. Further Considerations on the Benefits of a Non-Passive Arbitrator | 115 |
| A. From the Overall Perspective | 115 |
| B. From the Parties' Perspective | 115 |
| C. From an Arbitrator's Perspective | 116 |
| VII. Conclusion | 117 |
| | |
| Chapter III The Award and the Courts | 119 |
| | |
| <i>Markus Schifferl/Venus Valentina Wong</i> | |
| Decisions of the Austrian Supreme Court on Arbitration in 2014 and 2015 | 121 |
| I. Right to be Heard | 122 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 122 |
| B. Decision of the Supreme Court | 123 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 123 |
| II. Challenge of Arbitrators | 124 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 124 |
| B. Decision of the Supreme Court | 125 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 126 |
| III. Indetermination of an Arbitration Clause | 127 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 127 |
| B. Decision of the Supreme Court | 128 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 129 |
| IV. Right to be Heard in Enforcement Proceedings | 130 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 130 |
| B. Decision of the Supreme Court | 130 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 131 |
| V. European Competition Law | 132 |
| A. Facts of the Case | 132 |
| B. Decision of the Supreme Court | 134 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 136 |
| VI. Arbitral Proceedings and Insolvency | 137 |
| A. Facts of the Cases | 137 |
| B. Decisions of the Supreme Court | 138 |
| C. Additional Remarks | 140 |
| | |
| <i>Georg Naegeli/Simon Vorburger</i> | |
| When a Party to an International Arbitration Goes Bankrupt: A Swiss Perspective | 143 |
| I. Introduction | 143 |
| II. Situations Triggering Insolvency Law Issues in International Arbitration | 144 |
| III. The Effects of a Party's Insolvency on an International Arbitration | 145 |
| A. The Effects of the Insolvency on the Validity of Arbitration Agreements | 145 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 1. Law Applicable to the Effects of an Insolvency on the Validity of an Arbitration Agreement | 146 |
| 2. The Effects of an Insolvency on the Validity of the Arbitration Agreement under Swiss Law | 147 |
| B. The Effects of an Insolvency on the Capacity of a Party Subject to Insolvency Proceedings | 147 |
| 1. Law Applicable to the Capacity of a Party Subject to Insolvency Proceedings | 148 |
| a) The Swiss Federal Supreme Court's Approach in the Vivendi Case | 148 |
| b) The Swiss Federal Supreme Court's New Approach in the Portuguese Case | 149 |
| c) Remaining Issues Under the Swiss Federal Supreme Court's New Approach | 151 |
| 2. The Effects of an Insolvency on the Capacity of a Party to an Arbitration Under Swiss Law | 152 |
| C. The Scope Ratione Personae of the Arbitration Agreement in the Insolvency Context | 152 |
| 1. Law Applicable to the Scope Ratione Personae of the Arbitration Agreement | 152 |
| 2. Transfer of the Arbitration Agreement from the Debtor to the Insolvency Estate Under Swiss Law | 154 |
| D. The Scope Ratione Materiae of the Arbitration Agreement | 156 |
| 1. Law Applicable to the Scope Ratione Materiae of the Arbitration Agreement | 156 |
| 2. Types of Insolvency Law Actions Covered by the Scope Ratione Materiae of an Arbitration Agreement | 157 |
| 3. Actions Arising Out of a Swiss Insolvency Covered by an Arbitration Agreement | 158 |
| E. Objective Arbitrability of Insolvency Law Actions | 159 |
| 1. Law Applicable to Objective Arbitrability and Its Standards | 159 |
| 2. Objective Arbitrability of Actions Arising Out of a Swiss Insolvency | 161 |
| F. Suspension of Arbitral Proceedings Upon the Commencement of an Insolvency | 163 |
| 1. Law Applicable to A Suspension of the Arbitral Proceedings | 163 |
| 2. Standards for a Suspension of the Arbitral Proceeding under the Swiss <i>Lex Arbitri</i> | 164 |
| 3. Applicability of the Automatic Stay Provision of Swiss Insolvency Law to International Arbitration? | 164 |
| IV. Enforcement of an Arbitral Award in the Insolvency Context | 166 |
| A. Enforcement of an Arbitral Award Against a Party Subject to a Swiss Insolvency Proceeding | 166 |
| B. Enforcement of an Arbitral Award Against Assets Located in Switzerland Belonging to a Party Subject to Non-Swiss Insolvency Proceedings | 169 |
| C. Enforcement of an Arbitral Award Against Assets Located in Switzerland by the Foreign Trustee | 170 |

Chapter IV Alternative Dispute Resolution 171*Alice Fremuth-Wolf/Anne-Karin Grill***Boosting Party Autonomy in ADR-Proceedings: The New Vienna Mediation Rules 2016 and Draft Guidelines for Neutral Evaluation** 173

| | |
|--|-----|
| I. Introduction | 173 |
| II. The New Vienna Mediation Rules 2016 | 178 |
| A. General Provisions (Articles 1, 2, 14) | 178 |
| B. Commencing the Proceedings (Article 3) | 179 |
| C. Appointment of the Mediator (Article 7) | 180 |
| D. Costs (Articles 4 and 8) | 181 |
| 1. Registration Fee (Article 4) | 181 |
| 2. Administrative Fees (Article 8 para. 6) | 182 |
| 3. Mediator's Fees (Article 8 para. 8) | 182 |
| 4. Use of Advance on Costs in Parallel Proceedings | 183 |
| 5. No Double-Charging (Articles 4 para. 3 and 8 para. 10) | 183 |
| 6. Award on Agreed Terms – Arbitrator's Fees (Article 8 para. 11) | 183 |
| 7. Payment of the Advance on Costs (Article 8 para. 4) | 184 |
| 8. Final Cost Allocation (Article 8 para. 9) | 184 |
| E. Place and Language of the Proceedings (Articles 5 and 6) | 184 |
| 1. Place of Meetings and Sessions (Article 5) | 184 |
| 2. Language of the Proceedings (Article 6) | 185 |
| F. Conduct of the Proceedings (Article 9) | 185 |
| G. Parallel Proceedings (Article 10) | 187 |
| H. Termination of the Proceedings (Article 11) | 188 |
| I. Confidentiality, Admissibility of Evidence and Subsequent Party Representation (Article 12) | 189 |
| J. Disclaimer (Article 13) | 191 |
| III. Draft NE-Guidelines | 191 |
| IV. Conclusion | 193 |
| ANNEX – VIAC Rules of Arbitration | 194 |

*Ulrike Gantenberg/Gustav Flecke-Giammarco***Dispute Boards Revival: Championing the Use of Dispute Adjudication Boards as a Project Management Tool That Helps to Avoid Disputes** 201

| | |
|--|-----|
| I. Introduction | 201 |
| II. Salient Characteristics of DABs | 202 |
| III. General Structure of DAB Proceedings | 205 |
| IV. New ICC Dispute Board Rules | 207 |
| V. Other Areas in Which DABs Could Become a Useful Practice Tool | 209 |
| VI. Conclusion | 212 |

Chapter V Investment Arbitration 215*John Beechey***TTIP-Myths and Facts: 2015 Bergsten Lecture, Vienna** 217

| | |
|--|-----|
| I. The Myths and the Facts | 219 |
| A. Myth 1: Arbitration Operates Outside the Legal System | 219 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| B. Myth 2: Arbitration Constitutes an Undesirable Limit to the Power of Sovereign States to Regulate the Public Interest | 221 |
| C. Myth 3: Arbitration is an Exercise in Secrecy | 223 |
| D. Myth 4: States Never Win | 224 |
| E. Myth 5: Foreign Investors Benefit From Superior Protection | 225 |
| F. Myth 6: Inconsistency | 225 |
| G. Myth 7: Arbitrators are Biased Against States | 226 |
| II. What Next? | 227 |
| III. Postscript | 228 |
| <i>Boris Kasolowsky/Amanda Neil</i> | |
| Pre-Award Transparency in Investment Arbitration from the Perspective of Parties and Counsel | 231 |
| I. Introduction | 231 |
| II. Distinguishing Post-Award Transparency and Pre-Award Transparency | 232 |
| III. The Push Towards Pre-Award Transparency | 233 |
| IV. The Cost of Pre-Award Transparency – The Loss of Efficiency and Effectiveness | 236 |
| A. Pre-Award Transparency Creates Lengthier, Costlier and More Complex Proceedings | 237 |
| 1. Public Hearings | 237 |
| 2. Amici Curiae | 238 |
| B. Pre-Award Transparency Makes Dispute Resolution More Difficult | 240 |
| 1. Repoliticization of Disputes | 240 |
| 2. Making Disputes Politically Unsettable | 241 |
| C. Differing Standards of Pre-Award Transparency Increase Confusion and a Lack of Coherence | 242 |
| V. Conclusion | 243 |
| <i>Constantin Eschlboeck</i> | |
| Repeal of Domestic Legislative Measures by Means of International Arbitration ... | 245 |
| I. The Problem | 245 |
| II. Specific Performance as the (Only) Appropriate Remedy | 247 |
| III. The Pacta Sunt Servanda Rule in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties and the Idea of Negative Reciprocity | 249 |
| IV. The Doctrine of Self-Limitation | 249 |
| V. The Idea of Pacta Sunt Servanda Under the VCLT | 250 |
| VI. Good Faith as the Most Important Principle of Law | 251 |
| VII. Termination | 253 |
| VIII. Material Breach and Impossibility | 254 |
| IX. Fundamental Change of Circumstances | 255 |
| X. The Fitzmaurice Examples on the Fundamental Change of Circumstances ... | 256 |
| XI. The Juridical and Academic Recognition of the Fundamental Change of Circumstances Principle | 257 |
| XII. Fundamental Change of Circumstances and the Parties' Intention | 259 |
| XIII. Limits to the Rebus Sic Stantibus Principle | 261 |
| XIV. Primacy of International Law Over Domestic Law | 262 |
| XV. State Continuity | 262 |
| XVI. Conclusion: Pacta Sunt (Et Erunt) Servanda | 264 |
| XVII. The Real Problem: The Enforcement of an Award "Against Domestic Law" ... | 265 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| XVIII. Public Policy | 266 |
| XIX. International Public Policy | 266 |
| XX. The Recommendations of the ILAC | 267 |
| XXI. Arbitral Award and Enforcement of Administrative Notices | 269 |
| XXII. Summary | 270 |

N. Jansen Calamita/Ewa Zelazna

The Changing Landscape of Transparency in Investor-State Arbitration:

| | |
|---|------------|
| The UNCITRAL Transparency Rules and Mauritius Convention | 271 |
| I. Introduction | 271 |
| II. Normative Arguments Driving Increased Transparency in Investment Treaty Arbitration | 273 |
| A. Promotion of Good Governance | 274 |
| B. Business and Human Rights | 275 |
| C. Legitimacy of Investor-State Arbitration | 276 |
| D. Regulatory Predictability | 276 |
| E. Equality and Fairness in Arbitration | 277 |
| F. State Treaty Development | 277 |
| III. Developments Relating to Transparency in Treaty-based Arbitration | 278 |
| A. Dispute Settlement Transparency Provisions in Investment Treaties | 278 |
| B. The Treatment of Transparency in Arbitral Rules | 280 |
| IV. The UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency | 281 |
| A. Public Access to Documents and Hearings | 281 |
| B. Exceptions to Public Access to Documents and Hearings under Art 7 | 282 |
| C. Participation by Third Persons and Non-disputing Parties to the Treaty ... | 283 |
| D. UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency – Application | 285 |
| V. The Mauritius Convention | 286 |
| VI. Conclusion | 288 |

Andrea K. Bjorklund

| | |
|---|------------|
| NAFTA Chapter XI's Contribution to Transparency in Investment Arbitration | 291 |
| I. Transparency Generally | 292 |
| A. Substantive Transparency | 293 |
| B. Procedural Transparency | 294 |
| C. The Question of Amicus Curiae | 294 |
| II. Transparency Under NAFTA | 294 |
| A. Access to Information Laws | 295 |
| B. NAFTA Transparency Prior to Clarification | 295 |
| C. Clarification Re Procedural Transparency | 298 |
| D. NAFTA and Amicus Curiae Participation | 299 |
| III. Some Consequences of Transparency | 303 |
| A. Settlement | 303 |
| B. Complexity and Cost | 304 |
| C. Contribution to the Development of the Law | 306 |
| D. "Issue" and Other Conflicts | 306 |
| IV. Conclusion | 309 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Chapter VI 10 Years Austrian Yearbook of International Arbitration | 311 |
| <i>Christian Klausegger/Ruth Mahfoozpour</i> | |
| 10 Years Austrian Yearbook on International Arbitration: Abstracts 2007–2016 | 313 |
| I. The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability | 313 |
| II. The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Proceeding | 322 |
| III. The Award and the Courts | 340 |
| IV. Alternative Dispute Resolution | 348 |
| V. Investment Arbitration | 350 |
| VI. Arbitration and Crime | 355 |
| VII. Issues Specific to Arbitration in Europe | 356 |
| | |
| Annex | 359 |
| <i>Alfred Siwy</i> | |
| Recent Publications | 361 |
| | |
| Index 2007–2016 | 369 |