

## Table of Contents

Introduction .....	III
Overview .....	V
The Editors and Authors .....	XIX
<b>Chapter I The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>Irene Welsler</i>	
<b>M&amp;A Post Closing Issues: Arbitration and Third Party Joinder .....</b>	<b>3</b>
I. Reasons for Arbitration Clauses in M&A Contracts .....	3
II. The Multi-Party Situation .....	4
III. “Real Life” Share Purchase Agreement Clauses on Third Party Claims .....	5
IV. Practical Consequences: Multiplication of Proceedings with Different Outcome? ..	7
V. Procedural Aspects .....	8
A. Third Party Joinder .....	8
1. Third Party Joinder in Court Proceedings .....	8
2. Third Party Joinder and Arbitration .....	10
3. Interim Result for M&A Disputes .....	12
B. Consolidation of Proceedings? .....	12
VI. Possible Solutions .....	14
<i>László Burger</i>	
<b>Barriers of International Arbitration in Hungary – New Challenges for Hungarian Commercial Arbitration .....</b>	<b>15</b>
I. Introduction .....	15
II. The Expansion of International Arbitration .....	15
III. The Appearance of the Arbitration Market .....	17
IV. May Two Domestic Parties Settle Themselves to an Arbitration Tribunal Having its Seat in a Foreign State? .....	20
A. The Rejecting Standpoint .....	20
B. Extensive Standpoint .....	24
V. The International Nature of Arbitration Proceedings .....	26
A. Difference Based on the Nature of the Dispute .....	26
B. Difference Based on the Parties .....	26
VI. Summary .....	28

<b>Chapter II The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure</b> .....	29
<i>Nikolaus Pitkowitz</i>	
<b>Shared Funding of Arbitration Proceedings – Fact or Fiction?</b> .....	31
I. Introduction .....	31
II. The Legal Background .....	32
A. Sec 609 ACCP .....	32
B. Art 34 Vienna Rules .....	32
III. Cost Reimbursement Obligations under Austrian Arbitration Law .....	33
A. No Shared Cost Contribution .....	33
B. No General Duty to Support Arbitration Proceedings by Payment of Cost Advance .....	33
IV. The Arbitral Tribunal’s Jurisdiction to Decide on Reimbursement of a Cost Advance .....	34
A. No Costs Decision without Subject Matter Jurisdiction .....	34
B. Interpretation of Arbitration Agreements .....	35
C. Will a General Arbitration Clause Encompass Disputes under the Vienna Rules? .....	36
D. Case Law .....	37
1. German Case Law .....	37
2. Austrian Case Law .....	38
a) Decision of the Higher Regional Court of Vienna dated June 13, 2005 (Docket No 2 R 55/05f) .....	38
b) Decision of the Austrian Supreme Court dated October 30, 1985 (Docket No 3 Ob 89/85) .....	40
V. Enforceability of the Cost Reimbursement Obligation .....	42
A. The Nature of Procedural Obligations .....	42
B. Art 34 (2) Vienna Rules contains a Non-enforceable Obligation (“Obliegenheit”) .....	42
C. Art 35 Vienna Rules confirms the Non-binding Nature .....	44
D. No Contract to the Benefit of a Third Person .....	45
E. Sec 1042 Austrian General Civil Code is not Applicable .....	46
F. ICC Rules .....	46
VI. Interim Measures to Secure Immediate Reimbursement of a Cost Advance? .....	48
VII. The Correct Solution: The Decision on Costs must be made upon Termination of the Proceedings .....	49
A. Introduction .....	49
B. Austrian Arbitration Law .....	49
C. Vienna Rules .....	51
<i>Giovanni De Berti</i>	
<b>Experts and Expert Witnesses in International Arbitration: Adviser, Advocate or Adjudicator?</b> .....	53
I. The Need for Expert Assistance – A Booming Market .....	53
II. Party Appointed and Tribunal Appointed Experts – Common Law and Civil Law Approach: Battle of Experts versus Search for the Truth .....	54
III. Expert Witness or Expert Litigator? – Partisan with a Conscience versus Hired Gun .....	57
IV. The Ethics and the Guidelines – Quis custodiet custodes veri? .....	59
V. The Expert Opinion and the Award – Too Authoritative by Half? .....	61
VI. Conclusion – The Way Forward .....	62

*Veit Öhlberger*

<b>How Confidential Is Arbitration in Austria? A Comparative Analysis</b> .....	65
I. Introduction .....	65
II. Privacy in Arbitration Connected State Court Proceedings .....	67
III. Privacy of Arbitral Proceedings .....	71
A. Austrian Law and Implied Contractual Duties .....	71
B. Vienna Rules .....	72
IV. Confidentiality Obligations of Arbitrators .....	73
A. Austrian Law and Implied Contractual Duties .....	73
B. Vienna Rules .....	74
V. Confidentiality Obligations of Arbitral Institutions .....	75
A. Austrian Law and Implied Contractual Duties .....	75
B. Vienna Rules .....	75
VI. Confidentiality Obligations of Parties .....	76
A. Austrian Law and Implied Contractual Duties .....	76
B. Vienna Rules .....	81
VII. Publication of Award .....	82
A. Austrian Law and Implied Contractual Duties .....	82
B. Vienna Rules .....	82
VIII. Publication of Decisions in Connected State Court Proceedings .....	83
IX. Conclusion .....	84

*Jarred Pinkston*

<b>The Case for a Continental European Arbitral Institution to Limit Document Production</b> .....	87
I. Introduction .....	87
II. Why Customers Might not Like Document Production (Part I) – Inviting Guerilla Tactics .....	90
A. The Open Ended Nature of Document Production Creates Opportunities for Arbitral Guerillas .....	90
B. No Real Sanction for Failing to Comply with an Order to Produce a Category of Documents .....	93
1. The Difficulty in Even Identifying Guerilla Tactics in the Document Production Process .....	93
2. The Circular Shortcoming of Using a Negative Inference when Applied to a Category of Documents .....	94
3. No Effective Means of Sanctioning an Attorney Engaged in Guerilla Tactics .....	95
III. Why Customers Might not Like Document Production (Part II) – Forcing the Square Peg Into the Round Hole .....	96
A. Common Law (America) Experience v. Civil Law (Austria) Experience ....	97
1. American Experience .....	97
2. Austrian Experience .....	100
B. The Ideal v. Reality of Discovery .....	101
1. David v. Goliath Scenario .....	101
2. Courts are Neutral in the Discovery Process .....	102
3. Attorneys Seek to Limit Discovery .....	103
IV. The Case for a Continental European Arbitral Institution to Limit Document Production .....	104
A. The Fierce Competition for International Arbitration Business (Supply) ...	105

B. What Customer's Want (Demand) .....	106
C. Why not Give Customers What they Want (Demand = Supply) .....	107
D. Arbitrator Discretion v. Customers' Wishes .....	109
V. Suggestions on How to Limit Document Production .....	110

*Friederike Schäfer*

<b>Practical Problems Arising from the Contractual Relationship between Expert and Participants in an Arbitration</b> .....	113
I. Introduction .....	113
II. Contractual Relationship between Expert, Parties and Arbitral Tribunal .....	114
A. Specification of Contract with the Expert .....	114
B. Parties to the Contract .....	115
1. General Considerations .....	115
2. Contract Between Expert and Parties to the Proceedings .....	115
C. Performance Obligations Under the Contract with the Expert .....	117
1. Obligations of the Parties to the Proceedings .....	117
a) Obligation to Pay the Price .....	117
b) Duty to Cooperate .....	117
2. Arbitrators' Obligations? .....	118
3. Expert's Obligations .....	119
a) Letter of Appointment of the Expert/Expert's Terms of Reference .....	119
b) Other Obligations .....	120
D. Failure to Perform of One of the Parties .....	121
1. The Expert's Failure to Perform .....	121
a) Failure with Regard to the Expert's Work Performance .....	121
b) Violation of Obligations with Regard to Impartiality or Independence .....	122
2. The Parties' Failure to Perform .....	123
a) Parties' Failure to Cooperate .....	123
b) Enforcement of the Expert's Claim for Remuneration .....	124
aa) Payment from Advance on Costs .....	124
bb) The Expert's Claim Before a State Court .....	125
III. Conclusion .....	126

*Philipp Peters*

<b>Presiding Arbitrator, Deciding Arbitrator – Decision-Making in Arbitral Tribunals</b> .....	129
I. Introduction .....	129
II. Types of Arbitral Decisions .....	130
III. An Overview of the Decision Making Process .....	132
IV. The Arbitral Tribunal's Decision Making Power .....	133
A. The Source of the Arbitral Tribunal's Decision Making Power .....	133
1. Statutory Law .....	134
2. Party Autonomy .....	135
B. Majority Decisions vs. Unanimous Decisions .....	137
C. Powers of the Chairman .....	139
1. The Chairman as the Third Arbitrator .....	139
2. The Chairman as de facto Facilitator .....	140
3. The Chairman as Administrator of the Arbitral Proceedings .....	140
4. Procedural Decisions .....	141
5. Decisions in Deadlock Situations .....	142

6. Further Powers by Virtue of Party Agreement . . . . .	144
7. Further Powers by Virtue of Arbitrator's Delegation . . . . .	145
D. The Truncated Tribunal . . . . .	145
V. Deliberations – The Way Towards the Arbitral Decision . . . . .	147
A. The Deliberation Process . . . . .	147
B. Secrecy and Parties' Rights . . . . .	148
C. Arbitrators' Participation . . . . .	150
D. The Role of the Administrative Secretary – The Fourth Arbitrator? . . . . .	151
VI. Defects in the Decision-Making Process – The Impact of Failure to Observe Restrictions and Requirements . . . . .	152
VII. Execution – Issuing the Arbitral Decision . . . . .	153
A. Formal Requirements for Arbitral Decisions . . . . .	153
B. Content Requirements . . . . .	154
C. Involvement of Arbitral Institutions . . . . .	156
D. Signature Requirements . . . . .	156
E. Dissenting Opinions . . . . .	157
F. Service of the Award . . . . .	158
VIII. Summary and Conclusion . . . . .	159
<b>Chapter III The Award and the Courts . . . . .</b>	<b>161</b>
<i>Gabrielle Nater-Bass/Olivier Mosimann</i>	
<b>Effects of Foreign Bankruptcy on International Arbitration . . . . .</b>	<b>163</b>
I. Financial Crisis – A Changed Playing Field . . . . .	163
II. The Elektrim Cases . . . . .	164
A. Facts . . . . .	164
1. The English Dispute . . . . .	164
2. The Swiss Dispute . . . . .	164
3. The Polish Provision at the Center of Debate . . . . .	164
B. The English Approach: Arbitral Proceedings Unaffected . . . . .	165
1. Considerations of the LCIA Arbitral Tribunal in London . . . . .	165
2. Considerations of the English High Court and Court of Appeal . . . . .	166
3. Enforcement of the Award . . . . .	167
C. The Swiss Approach: No Jurisdiction over a Bankrupt Party . . . . .	168
1. Considerations of the ICC Arbitral Tribunal in Geneva . . . . .	168
2. Considerations of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court . . . . .	169
D. A Comparison of the Approaches . . . . .	170
III. Does the Sudden Bankruptcy of a Party Necessarily Affect a Pending Arbitration? . . . . .	171
A. Is the Validity of the Arbitration Agreement Affected? . . . . .	172
B. Is the Bankrupt Party's Capacity to be a Party to Arbitration Proceedings Affected and if so, does it Affect the Jurisdiction of the Arbitral Tribunal? . . . . .	174
C. Should the Arbitral Tribunal Stay its Proceedings? . . . . .	176
1. Mandatory Stay by Virtue of Bankruptcy Law . . . . .	176
2. Discretionary Stay . . . . .	177
IV. Which Approach Leads to a Predictable Interplay Between Arbitration and Bankruptcy? . . . . .	179

<i>Dominique Brown-Berset</i>	
<b>Enforcement and Execution of Arbitral Awards: A Swiss Perspective</b> .....	181
I. Introduction .....	181
II. Enforcement of Arbitral Awards against States or State Entities:	
Swiss Law in a Nutshell .....	182
III. <i>Noga v. Russian Federation</i> – A Comedy of Enforcement .....	185
IV. Is there a Moral to this Case? .....	194
<i>Minn Naing Oo/Rachel Foxton</i>	
<b>Recent Developments in International Arbitration in Singapore</b> .....	197
I. Introduction .....	197
II. International Arbitration (Amendment) Act .....	197
A. Court-Ordered Interim Measures in Support of Foreign Arbitrations .....	198
1. Pre-Amendment .....	198
a) Singapore Decides to Act with “Reciprocity” .....	198
b) Foreign Legislation and Model Law Amendments leave Singapore Behind .....	199
c) The Swift-Fortune Ruling and its Aftermath .....	200
2. The Amendment .....	201
a) Application and Restrictions of the Provision .....	201
b) Effects of the Provision .....	202
3. Conclusion .....	203
B. The Definition of an “Arbitration Agreement” .....	203
1. Updated Definition .....	203
2. Limited Applicability .....	204
3. Effects of the Change .....	204
C. Authentication of Awards “Made In Singapore” .....	205
1. A New Way to Authenticate Awards .....	205
2. A Smoother Enforcement Process .....	205
3. Effects of the Change .....	205
D. Summary of the Amendment .....	206
III. Recent Relevant Judicial Decisions .....	206
A. Insolvency is not Arbitrable .....	206
1. Factual Background .....	206
2. Legal and Procedural Background .....	207
3. Decision .....	208
B. Validity of an Arbitration Agreement is Determined under Applicable Foreign Law, not Singapore Law .....	209
1. Factual Background .....	209
2. Legal and Procedural Background .....	209
3. Decision .....	210
C. An Arbitration Agreement will not be Invalid Solely Because it Provides for an Arbitration Institution to Apply another Institution’s Rules .....	210
D. The Court will set aside Arbitral Awards only on grounds laid out by the IAA ..	211
1. Factual Background .....	211
2. Legal and Procedural Background .....	211
3. Decision .....	212
E. Setting Aside an Arbitral Award as Contrary to “Public Policy” .....	212
1. Factual and Procedural Background .....	213
2. Legal Background .....	214

3. Decision .....	215
IV. Conclusion .....	216

*Gordon Blanke/Celine Abi Habib Kanakri*

<b>Arbitration in Dubai: A Basic Primer</b> .....	217
I. Introduction .....	217
II. Some Ontological Preliminaries .....	219
A. Institutional Framework .....	219
1. The DIAC .....	219
2. The DIFC-LCIA .....	220
3. Other Institutions .....	221
4. The Role of Ad-hoc-Arbitration .....	222
5. Availability of Arbitration Professionals .....	222
B. Legal Framework .....	222
1. Arbitration in Dubai Proper .....	223
a) The UAE Judicial and Legislative Framework .....	223
b) The UAE Arbitration Law .....	224
2. Arbitration in the DIFC .....	225
a) The DIFC Judicial and Legislative Framework .....	225
b) The DIFC Arbitration Law .....	226
3. International Conventions .....	227
a) The New York Convention .....	227
b) Other Multilateral Conventions .....	227
c) Bilateral Conventions .....	228
C. Arbitral Formalities .....	228
1. The Question of Arbitrability .....	228
a) General .....	228
b) Special Tribunals .....	229
c) State Immunity .....	231
2. The Agreement to Arbitrate .....	231
a) Standard Wording .....	231
b) Formal Requirements .....	232
c) Scope .....	233
d) Separability .....	234
e) Multi-party Agreements .....	234
f) Enforceability .....	234
g) Conditions Precedent .....	235
3. Seat/Place of Arbitration .....	236
4. Language of Arbitration .....	236
5. Third-party Involvement .....	237
a) Third Parties .....	237
b) Group of Companies .....	237
c) Consolidation .....	238
6. The Arbitration Tribunal .....	238
a) Choice and Requirements .....	238
b) Appointment and Constitution of the Tribunal .....	239
c) Challenge and Replacement of the Tribunal .....	239
d) Powers of the Tribunal and Kompetenz-Kompetenz .....	240
e) Liability of the Tribunal .....	241
7. Representation Before the Tribunal .....	241

III. The Arbitration Proceedings .....	242
A. Initiation of the Arbitration Proceedings .....	242
B. Conduct of the Arbitration Proceedings .....	242
1. Mandatory Procedural Rules .....	243
2. A Party's Refusal to Participate .....	243
3. The Presentation of Evidence .....	243
a) Admissibility of Evidence .....	244
b) Production of Documents .....	244
4. Court Support .....	244
5. Hearings Before the Tribunal .....	245
6. Confidentiality .....	245
7. Parties' Right to Settle .....	246
C. The Arbitration Award .....	246
1. Types of Awards .....	246
2. Formal Requirements .....	246
3. Time-limits .....	247
4. Remedies .....	248
a) General .....	248
b) Interim Relief .....	248
c) Costs and Interest .....	249
5. Interpretation and Correction of Awards .....	250
6. Enforcement and Challenge of Awards .....	250
a) Enforcement of Awards .....	250
b) Challenge of Awards .....	253
c) Court Fees and Costs .....	255
IV. Conclusion .....	255
 <i>Markus Schifferl</i>	
<b>Decisions of the Austrian Supreme Court on Arbitration in 2009 and 2010 .....</b>	<b>257</b>
I. Consumer Related Issues in Arbitration .....	257
A. Facts of the Case .....	257
B. Decision of the Supreme Court .....	258
C. Additional Remarks .....	259
II. Interim and Protective Measures .....	262
A. Facts of the Case .....	262
B. Decision of the Supreme Court .....	263
C. Additional Remarks .....	264
III. Confirmation of Finality and Enforceability .....	266
A. Facts of the Case .....	266
B. Decision of the Supreme Court .....	266
C. Additional Remarks .....	267
IV. Arbitration and the CMR .....	269
A. Facts of the Case .....	269
B. Decision of the Supreme Court .....	270
C. Additional Remarks .....	271

*Alfred Siwy/Lisa Beisteiner*

<b>The Availability of Interim Measures to Austrian Courts to Secure the Enforceability of Foreign Arbitral Awards</b> .....	275
I. Introduction .....	275
II. The Jurisdiction of Austrian Courts to Issue Interim Measures .....	276
III. Interim Measures Under Austrian Law .....	276
A. The Requirements under Sec 379 (2) (1) EA .....	276
B. The Requirements under Sec 379 (2) (2) EA .....	277
1. The Limitations Imposed by the Austrian Supreme Court .....	278
2. The Validity of the Approach in International Contexts .....	279
3. The Possibility of Granting Interim Measures in International Contexts ..	279
a) The Rationale of Sec 379 (2) (2) EA .....	279
b) The Application to Arbitral Awards .....	281
aa) The Criteria for Assessing the Burden .....	281
bb) The New York Convention as an Obstacle to the Issue of an Interim Measure .....	282
IV. European Anti-Discrimination Law and the Securing of Arbitral Awards .....	283
A. Introduction .....	283
B. The Prohibition of Discrimination on Grounds of Nationality and Mund & Fester v. Hatrex .....	283
C. The Equal Treatment of Court Judgments .....	284
D. Building on this Rationale for Arbitration? .....	286
1. The Scope of Application of the Treaties .....	286
2. The Test of Covert Discrimination .....	287
a) General Remarks .....	288
b) The Qualitative Approach – Pertinent Nationality Nexus .....	289
c) The Quantitative Approach – Typically the Same Result .....	290
3. Conclusion .....	292

<b>Chapter IV Alternative Dispute Resolution (“Guerrilla Tactics”)</b> .....	295
--	-----

*Günther J. Horvath*

<b>Guerrilla Tactics in Arbitration, an Ethical Battle: Is There Need for a Universal Code of Ethics?</b> .....	297
I. The Current State of Ethics in International Arbitration .....	297
II. Guerrilla Tactics Used by Parties .....	299
A. Extreme Guerrilla Tactics .....	300
B. Arbitrators’ Duty to Report Misconduct .....	301
C. Ethically Borderline Guerrilla Tactics .....	302
III. What Can an Arbitrator Do? .....	303
A. The First Line of Defense .....	304
B. Delay Tactics .....	305
C. Withholding Evidence .....	306
D. Introducing Evidence Through Witnesses .....	307
E. Interim Measures .....	307
F. The “Disclosure Dilemma” .....	308
G. The Costs Award .....	309
IV. Guerrilla Tactics within a Tribunal .....	311
A. Partisan Arbitrators .....	311

B. Ex parte Communication .....	312
V. An International Ethical Code .....	313

*Stephan Wilske*

<b>Arbitration Guerrillas at the Gate – Preserving the Civility of Arbitral Proceedings when the Going Gets (Extremely) Tough .....</b>	<b>315</b>
I. The Emergence of the Arbitration “Guerrilla” Phenomena .....	315
A. Black Arts .....	316
B. Arbitration Guerrilla .....	318
C. Arbitration Taliban .....	319
II. How to Deal with Arbitration Guerrilla Tactics .....	320
A. At the Outset of the Arbitral Proceedings .....	320
1. Agree on Certain Ground Rules .....	320
2. Arbitral Tribunal to Take Notice of Behavior of Party or Lawyers in Other Arbitration .....	321
B. During the Arbitral Proceedings .....	322
1. Arbitral Tribunal to Take Notice of Alleged Misconduct .....	322
2. Exclude Counsel who Misbehaves .....	324
3. Other More Drastic Sanctions .....	326
C. Conclusion of the Arbitral Proceedings .....	327
1. Cost Sanctions .....	327
a) Cost Sanctions against Parties .....	328
b) Sanctions against Counsel .....	329
2. Blame for Counsel .....	330
3. Going Against Counsel .....	331
4. No Enforcement of Arbitral Award Tainted with Illegality .....	332
III. Conclusion and Outlook .....	333

*Vladimir Khvalei*

<b>Guerrilla Tactic in International Arbitration, Russian View .....</b>	<b>335</b>
I. Introduction .....	335
II. Hard and Soft Type of Guerilla Tactics .....	336
A. Hard Type of Guerrilla Tactics .....	336
1. Measures aimed foremost against a party to the proceeding .....	336
a) Case Tomskneft .....	336
b) Case Znamensky .....	337
c) Case Raif .....	338
2. Measures Aimed Against a Party’s Counsel .....	339
3. Measures Aimed Against Arbitrators .....	340
a) Case Dunkeld v. Belize .....	340
b) Case Himpurna v. Indonesia .....	341
c) Case IPOC .....	341
4. The Russian Experience .....	342
B. Soft Type of Guerrilla Tactics .....	346
1. Russian Formalism – the “Notification Game” .....	347
a) Case Codest Engineering v. Most Group OOO .....	347
b) Case Limited Partnership P. Krücken GmbH and Co. KG v. Avtodor-Agro .....	349
2. A Recent Finding Moving Away From Extreme Russian Formalism .....	351
3. The “Delay Game” .....	351

a) Case Sektor-1 LLC v. Open Society Institute Management Services Ltd. . . . .	353
b) Case YUKOS Capital v. Rosneft . . . . .	354
III. Combating Guerrilla Tactics . . . . .	356
A. Interim Measure of Protection (“Cease and Desist” Order) . . . . .	357
B. Contempt . . . . .	358
C. Non-Admissibility of Evidence Procured by Improper Means . . . . .	358
D. Costs Sanction . . . . .	359
E. Drawing Adverse Inferences . . . . .	359
F. Rules of Professional Ethic for International Arbitration . . . . .	361
IV. Conclusion . . . . .	363
<b>Chapter V Investment Arbitration . . . . .</b>	<b>365</b>
<i>Luca Schicho</i>	
<b>The Relationship between a State and State Entities:</b>	
<b>A Matter of Jurisdiction or of the Merits? . . . . .</b>	<b>367</b>
I. Introduction . . . . .	367
II. State Entities in Investment Arbitration . . . . .	367
A. The Concept of State Entities . . . . .	369
B. The Attribution of State Entities . . . . .	370
C. Determining the Relationship . . . . .	372
III. The Prima Facie Test in International Arbitration . . . . .	374
IV. Arbitral Jurisprudence Concerning State Entities . . . . .	375
A. The Prima Facie Test in Cases Involving State Entities . . . . .	376
B. Reference to the Expectations of the Parties . . . . .	379
C. Maffezini v. Spain: the “State Entity Test” . . . . .	380
V. Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	381
<b>Annex . . . . .</b>	<b>385</b>
<i>Alfred Siwy</i>	
<b>Recent Publications . . . . .</b>	<b>387</b>
<b>Index 2007–2011 . . . . .</b>	<b>395</b>